Doc. 12

Dockets.Justia.com

TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR COUNSEL OF RECORD:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Defendant Walmart.com USA LLC ("Walmart.com") submits this Administrative Motion to relate *Norem v. Netflix, Inc.*, Case No. CV-09-00956-MJ ("*Norem*"), filed on January 28, 2009 in the Superior Court of the State of California in and for the County of Santa Clara, and removed on March 4, 2009 to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, to the cases listed in Section I pursuant to Local Civil Rule 3-12.

I. RELATED CASES

Please see Exhibit 1 ("Related Cases") to the Declaration of Kathryn P. Hoek in Support of Walmart.com's Administrative Motion to Consider Whether Cases Should Be Related ("Hoek Decl.").

II. RELATIONSHIP OF THE ACTIONS

The *Norem* case involves substantially the same parties, transaction, and event as those at issue in the earliest-filed case, *Resnick v. Walmart.com USA LLC*, CV-09-0002-PJH ("*Resnick*") and the Related Cases: specifically, an alleged agreement between Netflix, Inc. and Walmart.com "to divide the markets for the sales and online rentals of DVDs in the United States . . . , with the specific purpose and intended effect of monopolizing and otherwise unreasonably restraining trade in the online DVD rental market." Hoek Decl., Ex 2 (*Norem* Compl.) ¶ 1. Plaintiff Norem seeks to assert claims for alleged antitrust violations under California's Cartwright Act, Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 16720, 16727. The Plaintiffs in the Related Cases seek to assert claims for alleged antitrust violations under the Sherman Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 1 and 2.

Plaintiff Norem seeks to represent a putative class that is entirely encompassed by the putative classes in *Resnick* and the Related Cases. Plaintiff Norem seeks to represent a putative class of "[a]ll residents of California who paid a 'subscription fee' to Netflix to rent DVDs online after May 19, 2005 up to the present." Hoek Decl., Ex 2 ¶ 19. Plaintiffs in the *Resnick* action seek to represent a putative class made up of "[a]ny person the United States that paid a subscription fee to Netflix to rent DVDs, on or after May 19, 2005 up to the present." Hoek Decl., Ex. 3 (*Resnick* Compl.) ¶ 55.

1	Relating these cases will help eliminate duplicative discovery, prevent inconsistent pretri	
2	rulings, and conserve the resources of the parties, counsel, and this Court.	
3	III. CONCLUSION	
4	Norem and the Related Cases satisfy the criteria of Local Civil Rule 3-12. Therefore	
5	Walmart.com respectfully requests that Norem be deemed related to the cases listed in Section	
6	and be assigned to the Honorable Phyllis J. Hamilton.	
7		
8	Dated: March 23, 2009	Respectfully submitted,
9		SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P.
10		
11		By: s/ Kathryn P. Hoek Neal S. Manne
12		1000 Louisiana Street, Suite 5100
13		Houston, Texas 77002 Telephone: (713) 651-9366
14		Facsimile: (713) 654-6666
15		Marc M. Seltzer
16		Stephen E. Morrissey Kathryn P. Hoek
17		1901 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 950 Los Angeles, CA 90067
		Telephone: (310) 789-3100
18		Facsimile: (310) 789-3150
19		Attorneys for Defendants Walmart.com USA
20		LLC and Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		